

~~2596 R.M. Sobukwe St~~

RONDEBULT EXT 2

GERMISTON

1401

19-11-2006

DEAR PARLIAMENT

Civil Union Bill wrong against AFRICAN Culture:

Noted CITY PRESS advert page 11, dated 19/11/06
 This Bill is Contradicting Constitutional promises
 guaranteed in the very RSA am Constitutional
 Amendment Act 108 of 1996. Parliament is
~~Contradicting~~ Contradicting itself like Christian
 Bible (ithetha iziphikisa) in Bill of Rights on
 Equality Section and clause (5) 3 or clause 5
 3 is fair unless it is established that the discrimi-
 nation is fair", Dignity is not the issue.

I personally do not believe in Jesus Christ
 (Name and Surname) in Christian Bible ever
 existed, let alone being son of God. Yes
 I believe in the existance of God, the creator
 of Nature - Sky - Green Nature - grass, forest
 Water, animals including Human being,
 insect's, Sea, Minerals under Soil - etc
 God as I know created my Ancestors.
 I believe in God called "Xamata" this is only
 name I believe to represent the meaning of Real
 God Created everything we call Nature
 World wide on Earth + International Community.

The UN international Community was created by the God I believe in not Islam or any other Religion for that matter. Historically we all know and agree that Islam (Muslim) Religion and Christianity Religions participated in Cruel Systems in the Name of God and Religion.

Slavery System; Colonialism System; WARS; Apartheid System; etc = Christianity and Islam + Muslim created all these Systems since their very existence before 7th Century - 9th Century arrival in AFRICA to Colonize Africa Land and its people. 1447 Slavery - 1807 or 1860 end of Slavery. Colonialism up to 1994.

I am opposed to Civil Union Bill, it is against Indigenous Marriage based on "Lobola". Lobola can be paid representing Value amount or actual Domestic Animal = Cattle, Sheep, Goat, horse, etc or Money or Monetary Value of the total number per each combined in value. Civil Union Bill is against "Lobola" as I know it - It will be illegal to demand Lobola for your daughter ready for Marriage arrangement. "Vat en Sit" "Masihlalisane" wrong as to be Registered or Recognised or enjoy same ~~State~~ Status as Man or Men paid Lobola, or paid Lobola or unpaid Lobola as something.

Same Sex Recognition or Registration also wrong against African Culture or Black Indigenous Culture or Black African

(III)

Besides if this Civil Union Bill is passed as a Right by Parliament according to Constitutional Court Judges demand, it automatically nullify make it null and void fair discrimination in Section 9 clause (5) for any Religion, Group, institution.

Confusion and disorder will follow chaos a Curse in RSA Nation since 1994 or 1996. To register unmarried Couple as married or Same Sex Couple as married will remain wrong today and forever, regardless of Religion or institution including State Institutions - State President ~~TO~~ THABO Mbeki will be wrong to sign this into Law.

(Handwritten signature/initials)

Chief Justice Pius Langa and Deputy Chief Justice Dikgang Moseneke will be wrong to force or compel the RSA Nation or AZANIAN Nation to accept this Civil Union Bill Law as official Law, even the God I believe in will never accept this arrangement of man and man or woman and woman regarded as married if agreed to be called married. Same apply to Students in School to be regarded as Married, simply because they have child - to be unmarried is a choice and remain so is a Right. not force or Compel people or two individuals to enter marriage by force - Same applies to same sex

THE CITIZEN: THEM BALIHLLE DESMOND NONGAYIYANA
10725807088

G

Arico Kotze - Civil Union Bill

From: "Keith Strugnell"
To:
Date: 2006/11/20 09:26 PM
Subject: Civil Union Bill

Mr Arico Kotze (Committee Secretary),
Parliament of RSA,
PO Box 15,
Cape Town 8000.

Dear Mr Kotze,

Last week's vote in Parliament demonstrates quite clearly how far the ANC has departed from the democracy they believed in back in 1994.

How can a political party, and the ruling one at that, railroad a bill through parliament by forcing all their MPs to vote on a particular issue? I refer to the Civil Union Bill. Aside from the fact that they give no opportunity to any of their own members to vote on a matter of conscience, they think they can dupe the South African public into "agreeing" to same-sex marriage. Where is democratic justice in that?

It does not take much figuring out that the vast majority of South Africans believe in traditional marriage as the only course. In the run-up to the tabling of this Bill, bodies such as the Marriage Alliance (representing millions of Christians), and many other church groups and whole communities, as well as the Congress of Traditional Leaders of South Africa, have presented their overwhelming objections to the passing of this Bill. With a stroke of the pen the ruling party has ignored and rejected their submissions.

Why would the party's chief whip (himself under considerable pressure on a moral issue) instruct the Members of Parliament of his majority party to cast their votes in this manner? It makes us the only African country to depart from God-given physical and spiritual laws. Other African countries have upheld the age-old traditions of family and resisted the efforts of minority activists to overturn natural family structures. In Europe, even liberal France has said a loud and clear "No" to the efforts of gay liberals.

I don't believe this nation has yet crossed the line and declared itself independent of God. The ANC may be trying to play God, but there are still millions of God-fearing righteous people in this land who pray daily for those elected to office. However, by its actions the Government has drawn itself closer to that line.

Is the Government so insensitive to the feelings of the majority of South Africans who have overwhelmingly opposed same-sex marriage? In the name of both Godly values and democracy, please ensure that this bill goes no further!

Keith D Strugnell
Executive Director, Go-Tell Communications/ Bless Africa
Regional Director, CBN Africa/ Operation Blessing
PO Box 1213, Gardenvue 2047, South Africa
Tel: +27-11-622-4608/9, Fax: +27-11-622-4142
Email: keith.strugnell@go-tell.org
Websites: www.go-tell.org; www.cbnworld.com

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Free Edition.

Version: 7.5.430 / Virus Database: 268.14.9/540 - Release Date: 2006-11-20 03:04 AM

**Arico Kotze - Civil Union Bill**

From: "Keith Strugnell"
To:
Date: 2006/11/20 09:26 PM
Subject: Civil Union Bill

Mr Arico Kotze (Committee Secretary),
Parliament of RSA,
PO Box 15,
Cape Town 8000.

Dear Mr Kotze,

Last week's vote in Parliament demonstrates quite clearly how far the ANC has departed from the democracy they believed in back in 1994.

How can a political party, and the ruling one at that, railroad a bill through parliament by forcing all their MPs to vote on a particular issue? I refer to the Civil Union Bill. Aside from the fact that they give no opportunity to any of their own members to vote on a matter of conscience, they think they can dupe the South African public into "agreeing" to same-sex marriage. Where is democratic justice in that?

It does not take much figuring out that the vast majority of South Africans believe in traditional marriage as the only course. In the run-up to the tabling of this Bill, bodies such as the Marriage Alliance (representing millions of Christians), and many other church groups and whole communities, as well as the Congress of Traditional Leaders of South Africa, have presented their overwhelming objections to the passing of this Bill. With a stroke of the pen the ruling party has ignored and rejected their submissions.

Why would the party's chief whip (himself under considerable pressure on a moral issue) instruct the Members of Parliament of his majority party to cast their votes in this manner? It makes us the only African country to depart from God-given physical and spiritual laws. Other African countries have upheld the age-old traditions of family and resisted the efforts of minority activists to overturn natural family structures. In Europe, even liberal France has said a loud and clear "No" to the efforts of gay liberals.

I don't believe this nation has yet crossed the line and declared itself independent of God. The ANC may be trying to play God, but there are still millions of God-fearing righteous people in this land who pray daily for those elected to office. However, by its actions the Government has drawn itself closer to that line.

Is the Government so insensitive to the feelings of the majority of South Africans who have overwhelmingly opposed same-sex marriage? In the name of both Godly values and democracy, please ensure that this bill goes no further!

Keith D Strugnell
Executive Director, Go-Tell Communications/ Bless Africa
Regional Director, CBN Africa/ Operation Blessing
PO Box 1213, Gardenview 2047, South Africa
Tel: +27 11 622 4114
Email: keith.strugnell@go-tell.org
Websites: www.go-tell.org; www.cbnworld.com

--
No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG Free Edition.

Version: 7.5.430 / Virus Database: 268.14.9/540 - Release Date: 2006-11-20 03:04 AM

YY

Arico Kotze - OBJECTION TO CIVIL UNION BILL

From: "Welgelegen Pharmacy"
To:
Date: 2006/11/23 01:31 PM
Subject: OBJECTION TO CIVIL UNION BILL

To whom it may concern

This new bill is probably one of the most potentially destructive and dangerous pieces of legislation to appear in our new democracy.

It spits in the face of all that is held sacred with regard to family values across all religions in this country.

The family unit as ordained by God, is the basic building block of our human society.

You would not try build your house with broken bricks and expect it to stand firm in the same way as house of proper bricks

We have more than sufficient evidence of the effects of " broken bricks " in our society.

Why are the government, who we the people put into power, trying to destroy our society even further than it already is, for the sake of a small minority who probably did not vote for them anyway?

Just look at how the social fabric & values of American society has been destroyed by similar legislation.

We should be looking at their mistakes and learning from them, rather than following them like lemmings to destruction.

I trust the wisdom of the committee will prevail and reject this outrageous and immoral legislation and let us get on with building a better South Africa for all, rather than trying to satisfy a small self seeking minority.

Thank you for this opportunity to contribute to this process

Yours faithfully

Patrick Hazell

BBB

Arico Kotze - Civil Union Bill

From: "Dawid Lombard"
To:
Date: 2006/11/23 02:29 PM
Subject: Civil Union Bill

Mnr Arico Kotze,

Our family reject the Civil Union Bill as **unhealthy, unnatural and destructive** to civilized society. We call for a constitutional amendment to protect the traditional definition of marriage as being a covenant between one man and one woman for – for life.

Your consideration will be appreciated.

Kind regards

Dawid Lombard

DISA

500 HANQUWE

~~ADRES~~ 993 H.

Caroline

~~076855255~~

Carly Marsault.

REKUTINA WE OPPER

Miss Mrs x Mr A.B.
PPP

Arico Kotze - Civil Union Bill: Comment

uuu

From: "Andrew Lane"
To:
Date: 2006/11/23 05:35 PM
Subject: Civil Union Bill: Comment

Mr Arico Kotze (Committee Secretary), Parliament of RSA, PO Box 15, Cape Town 8000,
 Tel: (021) 403 3662. Fax: (021) 403 2808 or email: akotze@parliament.gov.za

The proposed Civil Union Bill will be a eulogy on the death of decent society. Remember the Romans? One of their motto's was "***The family is the seed bed of the State***". Put another way, a corruption of family values will lead to the corruption of values of the State. In a country like ours which is struggling to control corruption, we need to consider strengthening family values, not corrupting them further. **The main purpose of marriage is to create a responsible environment in which children are protected from the world and through which they learn values which will help them survive in society** i.e. it is not about what adults want, it is about what is best for children. As surely as children are our future, the values they learn will determine the values of our future society.

How will children be affected?

1.1 Future generations of children would suffer the most. Firstly, those 'born' to homosexual couples through artificial insemination, or those adopted by same sex couples, would grow up without either a mother or a father. The following statistics show the tragic consequences of fatherless and single parent homes in the United States:

- 63% of youth suicides are from fatherless homes.
- 85% of all children that exhibit behavioural disorders come from fatherless homes.
- 80% of rapists motivated with displaced anger come from fatherless homes.
- 71% of all high school dropouts come from fatherless homes.
- 75% of all adolescent patients in drug abuse centres come from fatherless homes.
- 85% of all youths sitting in prisons grew up in a fatherless home.

While this applies to children who grow up in fatherless homes, mothers are equally important in the lives of children and the results of motherless homes are equally tragic.

The father-mother-child bond makes it illogical to maintain that a homosexual union matches marriage in its benefit to society.

1.2 Secondly, children growing up with same sex parents or seeing and hearing about homosexual marriages may become confused about their own gender identity and roles. Children need the role models of both parents to become good parents themselves.

Should this Bill go through, we will be giving special status in our national consciousness to "families" that deny children either a mother or a father. Future generations of children would tell us the truth that growing up without either a mom or a dad was a terrible mistake.

The liberal French parliament earlier this year ruled against same-sex marriages to protect children, citing article 7 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. "Children represent the future of society. They "must not suffer from conditions imposed upon them by adults... The best interests of the child must prevail over adult freedoms... even including the lifestyle choices of parents."

We reject the Civil Union Bill as unhealthy, unnatural and destructive to civilized society. We call for a constitutional amendment to protect the traditional definition and values of marriage as being a covenant between one man and one woman for life.

Yours faithfully
Andrew Lane

--

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG Free Edition.

Version: 7.1.409 / Virus Database: 268.14.14/547 - Release Date: 22/11/2006

This email message has been scanned by Symantec Antivirus for the presence of com

BOTSHABELO COMMUNITY

No 11 Botshabelo farm
Middeburg
Mpumalanga Province
1055
Tel: (013) 245 9002/3



Cell: 082 227 3096

DEVELOPMENT TRUST

(Reg. IT6332/04)
P.O.Box 948
Middelburg
1050
Fax: (013) 245 9000

PPPP

TO : THE SELECT COMMITTEE
: PUBLI HEARINGS
: P.O. Box 15
: CAPE TOWN
:8000
FAX : 021 - 403 2808

24/11/2006.

ATT : Arien Kotze

HUMAN SAME SEX UNIONS.

The Constitutional Court has duty to interpret the Constitution and to guide parliament about legislation and in this case the Court interpreted the "equality" in terms of sexual orientation not in terms normal human evolution and existence. This definition is biased towards certain people and this may create serious challenges to certain legislations. Satanism will have to be recognized as a religion for instance.

It is an obvious fact that the interpretation of "equality" poses serious challenges to the administration of justice in terms of the "Marriage Act"

The question also arises that, what percentage of our population are talking about? What are the implications of not legislating the same sex unions, for the Nation or the country?

The major challenge for the ANC led government is to redress the imbalances created by apartheid and same sex unions is not an apartheid creation or non creation thereof.

The African majority from Cape to Cairo, never had this problem since time immemorial. Despite the independence of the judiciary, parliament can not be dictated to by the Court, if so, there is no need to have parliament.

It is very clear that the majority of South Africans do not wish to have such legislation in our statute. This is also a threat to the existence of human beings because human beings are only created through different sexual partners.

The Minister of Justice has to live to the challenge and face the Nation to explain why she can not sign the bill and why she can not comply with the Constitutional Court order on this matter in order to enhance and advance the confidence that the South African society has in the Government and the rule of law.

This is setting precedent and other will follow as is with the termination of pregnancy.

The Courts will determine our laws than being custodian of these laws.

We members of the Trust representing over seven hundred (700) households and more than three thousand (300) individual South Africans are not approving of the in intended legislation on same sex coital relationship.

We are as a society dictated to by the Courts who recognize the law to be the one that has been developed in the West undermining the African indigenous ways of life to accommodate a few Western orientated individuals.

How many people are African who have made court applications in support of the same sex coital relationships? Even, if it can be denied that this has racial biasness we challenge the government and the courts to provide statistics on this fact.

If this is what South Africans should live with, then no one should accuse a person who is raping a child or sodomising an animal because of their sexual orientation. No animal does what is not natural. Why must we out of the whole Africa be forced to accept the inhuman conduct?


It was shocking to learn about another Constitutional judgment on this matter on Thursday,23/11/2006 while parliament is still accepting views from the public.

Does it worth making a submission or it is just a question of going through the motions, parliament should note that the majority of South Africans (blacks) in particular are very political in mind as a result of the past struggle against apartheid.

What kind of a society is such legislation creating?

The struggle heroes that have passed on are probably shaking in their graves.

With a great disappointment,


MPHELA R.J.B.
(SECRETARY)